

EXISTENCE AND EXPONENTIAL STABILITY OF A PERIODIC SOLUTION FOR FUZZY CELLULAR NEURAL NETWORKS WITH TIME-VARYING DELAYS

QIANHONG ZHANG *, LIHUI YANG **, DAIXI LIAO ***

* Guizhou Key Laboratory of Economic Systems Simulation, School of Mathematics and Statistics
Guizhou College of Finance and Economics, Guiyang, Guizhou 550004, PR China
e-mail: zqianhong68@163.com

**Department of Mathematics
Hunan City University, Yiyang, Hunan 413000, PR China
e-mail: ll.hh.yang@gmail.com

***Basic Science Department
Hunan Institute of Technology, Hengyang, Hunan 421002, PR China
e-mail: liaodaixizaici@sohu.com

Fuzzy cellular neural networks with time-varying delays are considered. Some sufficient conditions for the existence and exponential stability of periodic solutions are obtained by using the continuation theorem based on the coincidence degree and the differential inequality technique. The sufficient conditions are easy to use in pattern recognition and automatic control. Finally, an example is given to show the feasibility and effectiveness of our methods.

Keywords: fuzzy cellular neural networks, global exponential stability, periodic solution, coincidence degree.

1. Introduction

Consider the following fuzzy cellular neural networks with time-varying delays:

$$\begin{aligned}x'_i(t) = & -c_i x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \\ & + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) + I_i(t) \\ & + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n T_{ij}(t) u_j(t) + \bigvee_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t) f_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \\ & + \bigvee_{j=1}^n H_{ij}(t) u_j(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1)\end{aligned}$$

where n corresponds to the number of units in a neural network, $x_i(t)$ corresponds to the state vector of the i -th unit at time t , c_i represents the rate with which the i -th unit will reset its potential to the resting state in isolation when disconnected from the network and external input,

$a_{ij}(t)$ denotes the strength of the j -th unit on the i -th unit at time t , \bigwedge and \bigvee denote fuzzy AND and fuzzy OR operations, respectively, $f_j(\cdot)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are signal transmission functions, $\alpha_{ij}(t)$ and $\beta_{ij}(t)$ are respectively the elements of fuzzy feedback MIN and fuzzy feedback MAX at time t , $T_{ij}(t)$ and $H_{ij}(t)$ are respectively the elements of fuzzy feed-forward MIN and fuzzy feed-forward MAX at time t , $u_j(t)$ denotes the external inputs at time t , and $I_i(t)$ denotes the bias of the i -th unit at time t .

It is well known that the Fuzzy Cellular Neural Network (FCNN) first introduced by Yang and his co-workers (Yang and Yang, 1996; Yang *et al.*, 1996) is another type of cellular neural network model, which combines fuzzy operations (fuzzy AND and fuzzy OR) with cellular neural networks. As dynamical systems with a special structure, FCNNs have many interesting properties that deserve theoretical studies. In recent years, autonomous FCNNs have been extensively studied and successfully applied to image processing and to solve nonlinear algebraic equations. Such applications rely on the

qualitative properties of stability (Huang, 2006; Liu and Tang, 2004; Yuan et al., 2006; Zhang and Xiang, 2008; Zhang and Luo, 2009; Liu et al., 2009; Niu et al., 2008). During hardware implementation, time delays occur due to finite switching speeds of the amplifiers and communication time. Time delays may lead to oscillations and, furthermore, to network instability. Therefore, the study of the stability of FCNNs with delay is required in practice. However, non-autonomous phenomena often occurs in many realistic systems, particularly, when we consider long-time dynamical behavior of a system. The system parameters and time delays will usually change in time. Thus the research on non-autonomous FCNNs is very important, just like that on autonomous FCNNs.

So far, many important results on CNNs have been obtained regarding the existence of equilibria, global asymptotic stability, global exponential stability (Kosto, 1987; 1988; Gopalsmy and He, 1994; Cao and Wang, 2002; Cao, 2003; Cao and Dong, 2003; Chen et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2003; Liao and Yu, 1998; Zhao, 2006; 2002; Arik and Tavsanoglu, 2005; Tian et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2007; Raja et al., 2011). Especially the investigation of CNNs with periodic coefficients and delays has attracted more and more attention of researchers (Liu and Tang, 2006; Liu and Huang, 2006). To the best of our knowledge, few authors consider the stability of fuzzy cellular neural networks with periodic coefficients and time-varying delays. Motivated by the above discussion, in this paper, by using the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory and the differential inequality technique, we will give some sufficient conditions for the existence and exponential stability of periodic solutions to the system (1).

Throughout this paper, we always assume that $a_{ij}(t), \alpha_{ij}(t), \beta_{ij}(t), \tau_{ij}(t), T_{ij}(t), H_{ij}(t), u_j(t)$ and $I_i(t)$ are continuous ω -periodic functions, where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \tau = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \{\max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \tau_{ij}(t)\}$.

For convenience, we introduce the following notation. Let $r(t)$ be a ω -periodic solution defined on \mathbb{R} ,

$$r^+ = \max_{0 \leq t \leq \omega} |r(t)|, \quad \bar{r} = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega r(t) dt,$$

$$\|r\|_2 = \left(\int_0^\omega |r(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

We will use $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to denote a column vector (symbol ‘ T ’ denotes the transpose of a vector). For a matrix $D = (d_{ij})_{n \times n}$, D^T denotes the transpose of D , and E_n denotes the identity matrix of size n . For a matrix or a vector $D \geq 0$ means that all entries of D are greater than or equal to zero. $D > 0$ can be defined similarly. For a matrix or a vector, $D \geq E$ (respectively, $D > E$) means that $D - E \geq 0$ (respectively, $D - E > 0$).

The initial conditions associated with the system (1) are of the form

$$x_i(s) = \varphi_i(s), \quad s \in [-\tau, 0], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\varphi = (\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t))^T \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Throughout this paper, we make the following assumptions:

(A1) $f_j(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous on \mathbb{R} with Lipschitz constants p_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), and $f_j(0) = 0$. That is, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f_j(x) - f_j(y)| \leq p_j|x - y|.$$

(A2) There exist non-negative constants p_j and q_j such that $|f_j(x)| \leq p_j|x| + q_j$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1. The periodic solution $z^*(t) = (x_1^*(t), x_2^*(t), \dots, x_n^*(t))^T$ of the system (1) with the initial value $\varphi^* = (\varphi_1^*, \varphi_2^*, \dots, \varphi_n^*)^T \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be *globally exponentially stable* if there exist constants $\lambda > 0$ and $M \geq 1$ such that

$$|x_i(t) - x_i^*(t)| \leq M \|\varphi - \varphi^*\| e^{-\lambda t},$$

$$\forall t > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

for every solution $z(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T$ of the system (1) with the initial value $\varphi \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 2. A real matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is said to be an *M-matrix* if $a_{ij} \leq 0, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, i \neq j$, and $A^{-1} \geq 0$.

Lemma 1. (Liao and Yu, 1998) *Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix with non-positive off-diagonal elements. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) A is an *M-matrix*.
- (ii) The real parts of all eigenvalues of A are positive.
- (iii) There exists a vector $\eta > 0$ such that $A\eta > 0$.
- (iv) There exists a vector $\xi > 0$ such that $\xi^T A > 0$.

Lemma 2. (Yang and Yang, 1996) *Suppose x and y are two states of the system (1). Then we have*

$$\left| \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t) f_j(x) - \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t) f_j(y) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_{ij}(t)| |f_j(x) - f_j(y)|,$$

and

$$\left| \bigvee_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t) f_j(x) - \bigvee_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t) f_j(y) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\beta_{ij}(t)| |f_j(x) - f_j(y)|.$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will prove the existence of a periodic solution by using the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory. In Section 3, we establish the result that periodic solutions are globally exponentially stable by using the Lyapunov function method. In Section 4, an example will be given to illustrate the feasibility and effectiveness of our methods. General conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. Existence of a periodic solution

In this section, based on Mawhin's continuation theorem, we shall study the existence of at least one periodic solution of (1). To do so, we need some prerequisites.

For ease of exposition, throughout this paper will adopt the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x_i|_\infty &= \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} |x_i(t)|, \\
 u(t) &= (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T, \\
 |x_i|_2 &= \left(\int_0^\omega |x_i(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.
 \end{aligned}$$

We denote by X the set of all continuously ω -periodic solutions $u(t)$ defined on \mathbb{R} , and write

$$\|u\|_X = \max\{|x_1|_\infty, |x_2|_\infty, \dots, |x_n|_\infty\}.$$

Consider the following abstract equation in the Banach space X :

$$Lx = \lambda Nx, \tag{3}$$

where $L : \text{Dom} L \cap X \rightarrow X$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is a parameter. There exist two linear and continuous projectors P and Q ,

$$P : X \cap \text{Dom} L \rightarrow \text{Ker} L, \quad Q : X \rightarrow X/\text{Im} L,$$

such that $\text{Im} P = \text{Ker} L, \text{Ker} Q = \text{Im} L$. Since $\dim \text{Im} Q = \dim \text{Ker} L$, there exists an algebraical and topological isomorphism $J : \text{Im} Q \rightarrow \text{Ker} L$.

Lemma 3. (Gaines and Mawhin, 1990) *Let X be a Banach space and let L be a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Assume that $N : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is L compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ with Ω open and bounded in X . Furthermore, suppose that*

- (a) for each $\lambda \in (0, 1), x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Dom} L, Lx \neq \lambda Nx$,
- (b) for each $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker} L, QNx \neq 0$,
- (c) $\deg\{QNx, \Omega \cap \text{Ker} L, 0\} \neq 0$.

Then the equation $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution in $\overline{\Omega}$, where $\overline{\Omega}$ is the closure to $\Omega, \partial\Omega$ is the boundary of Ω .

Theorem 1. *Assume that (A2) holds, and the following condition is satisfied:*

(A3) $E_n - D$ is an M -matrix, where $D = (d_{ij})_{n \times n}, d_{ij} = \frac{1}{c_i}(a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+)p_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then the system (1) has at least one ω -periodic solution.

Proof. In order to use the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory to establish the existence of a periodic solution, let

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Nu)_i(t) &= -c_i x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \\
 &\quad + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) + I_i(t) \\
 &\quad + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n T_{ij}(t) u_j(t) + \bigvee_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t) f_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \\
 &\quad + \bigvee_{j=1}^n H_{ij}(t) u_j(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(Lu)(t) = u'(t) = (x_1'(t), x_2'(t), \dots, x_n'(t))^T, \tag{5}$$

$$\text{Dom} L = \{u(t) : u(t) \in X, u'(t) \in X\}, \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Pu = Qu &= \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega u(t) dt \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega x_1(t) dt, \dots, \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega x_n(t) dt \right)^T
 \end{aligned}$$

for $u(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T \in X \cap \text{Dom} L$. It is easy to prove that L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero, $P : X \cap \text{Dom} L \rightarrow \text{Ker} L$ and $Q : X \rightarrow X/\text{Im} L$ are two projectors, and N is L compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ for any given open bounded set.

In view of (4)–(6), the operator equation $Lx = \lambda Nx, \lambda \in (0, 1)$, is equivalent to the following one:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_i'(t) &= \lambda \left[-c_i x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \right. \\
 &\quad + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) + I_i(t) \\
 &\quad + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n T_{ij}(t) u_j(t) + \bigvee_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t) f_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \\
 &\quad \left. + \bigvee_{j=1}^n H_{ij}(t) u_j(t) \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $u(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T \in X$ is a solution of the system (7) for a certain $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then $x_i(t)$ is continuously differentiable ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Therefore, there exists $t_i \in [0, \omega]$ such that $|x_i(t_i)| = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} |x_i(t)|$. Hence $x_i'(t_i) = 0$ ($i =$

1, 2, . . . , n). This implies that, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_i x_i(t_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t_i) f_j(x_j(t_i - \tau_{ij}(t_i))) \\
 &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t_i - \tau_{ij}(t_i))) + I_i(t_i) \\
 &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n T_{ij}(t_i) u_j(t_i) + \bigvee_{j=1}^n H_{ij}(t_i) u_j(t_i) \\
 &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t_i) f_j(t_i - \tau_{ij}(t_i)). \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x_i(t_i)| &= \left| \frac{1}{c_i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t_i) f_j(x_j(t_i - \tau_{ij}(t_i))) \right. \right. \\
 &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t_i - \tau_{ij}(t_i))) + I_i(t_i) \\
 &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n T_{ij}(t_i) u_j(t_i) + \bigvee_{j=1}^n H_{ij}(t_i) u_j(t_i) \\
 &+ \left. \left. \bigvee_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t_i) f_j(t_i - \tau_{ij}(t_i)) \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |a_{ij}(t_i)| |f_j(x_j(t_i - \tau_{ij}(t_i)))| \\
 &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |\alpha_{ij}(t)| |f_j(x_j(t_i - \tau_{ij}(t_i)))| \\
 &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |\beta_{ij}(t_i)| |f_j(t_i - \tau_{ij}(t_i))| \\
 &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |H_{ij}(t_i)| |u_j(t_i)| + \frac{1}{c_i} |I_i(t_i)| \\
 &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |T_{ij}(t_i)| |u_j(t_i)| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j |x_j(t_i - \tau_{ij}(t_i))| \\
 &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) q_j \\
 &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} T_{ij}^+ u_j^+ + \bigvee_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} H_{ij}^+ u_j^+ + \frac{1}{c_i} I_i^+ \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j |x_j(t_j)|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) q_j + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} T_{ij}^+ u_j^+ + \frac{1}{c_i} I_i^+ \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} |x_j(t_j)| + G_i, \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_i &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) q_j \\
 &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} T_{ij}^+ u_j^+ + \frac{1}{c_i} I_i^+, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (9), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (E_n - D)(|x_1(t_1)|, |x_2(t_2)|, \dots, |x_n(t_n)|)^T \\
 \leq (G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n)^T := G. \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_n - D$ is an M-matrix, from (A3) and Lemma 1 it follows that there exists a vector $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n) > (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ such that

$$\bar{\eta} = (\bar{\eta}_1, \bar{\eta}_2, \dots, \bar{\eta}_n) = \eta(E_n - D) > (0, 0, \dots, 0), \tag{11}$$

which, together with (10), implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min\{\bar{\eta}_1, \bar{\eta}_2, \dots, \bar{\eta}_n\} (|x_1(t_1)| + \dots + |x_n(t_n)|) \\
 \leq \bar{\eta}_1 |x_1(t_1)| + \bar{\eta}_2 |x_2(t_2)| + \dots + \bar{\eta}_n |x_n(t_n)| \\
 = \eta(E_n - D)(|x_1(t_1)|, |x_2(t_2)|, \dots, |x_n(t_n)|)^T \\
 \leq \eta(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n)^T \\
 = \eta_1 G_1 + \eta_2 G_2 + \dots + \eta_n G_n. \tag{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x_i|_\infty &= \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} |x_i(t)| = |x_i(t_i)| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\bar{\eta}_i\}} (\eta_1 G_1 + \eta_2 G_2 + \dots + \eta_n G_n) \\
 &:= \delta. \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (A3) and Lemma 1, we have that there exists a vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)^T > (0, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ such that $(E_n - D)\xi > 0$. Therefore, we can choose a positive number $a > 1$ such that $\bar{\xi} = (\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2, \dots, \bar{\xi}_n)^T = (a\xi_1, a\xi_2, \dots, a\xi_n)^T = a\xi$ and

$$\bar{\xi}_i = a\xi_i > \delta, \quad (E_n - D)\bar{\xi} > G. \tag{14}$$

Take

$$\Omega = \{u : u(t) \in X, |u(t)| < \bar{\xi}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \tag{15}$$

which satisfies the condition (a) of Lemma 3. If $u(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t)) \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$, then $u(t)$ is a

constant vector on \mathbb{R}^n . Hence there exist some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|x_i| = \bar{\xi}_i$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (QNu)_i &= -c_i x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega a_{ij}(t) dt \\ &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \alpha_{ij}(t) dt \\ &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega H_{ij}(t) u_j(t) dt + \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega I_i(t) dt \\ &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \beta_{ij}(t) dt \\ &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega T_{ij}(t) u_j(t) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

We claim that

$$|(QNu)_i| > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{17}$$

To get a contradiction, assume that $|(QNu)_i| = 0$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -c_i x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega a_{ij}(t) dt \\ &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \alpha_{ij}(t) dt \\ &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega H_{ij}(t) u_j(t) dt \\ &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \beta_{ij}(t) dt \\ &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega T_{ij}(t) u_j(t) dt + \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega I_i(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists some $t^* \in [0, \omega]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -c_i x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t^*) f_j(x_j) + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t^*) f_j(x_j) \\ &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t^*) f_j(x_j) + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n T_{ij}(t^*) u_j(t^*) \\ &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n H_{ij}(t^*) u_j(t^*) + I_i(t^*). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\xi}_i &= |x_i| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |a_{ij}(t^*)| |f_j(x_j)| + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |\alpha_{ij}(t^*)| |f_j(x_j)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |\beta_{ij}(t^*)| |f_j(x_j)| + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |T_{ij}(t^*)| |u_j(t^*)| \\ &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |H_{ij}(t^*)| |u_j(t^*)| + \frac{1}{c_i} |I_i(t^*)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} a_{ij}^+ p_j |x_j| + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} \alpha_{ij}^+ p_j |x_j| \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} \beta_{ij}^+ p_j |x_j| + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) q_j \\ &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} T_{ij}^+ u_j^+ + \bigvee_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} H_{ij}^+ u_j^+ + \frac{1}{c_i} I_i^+ \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} |x_j| + G_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} \bar{\xi}_j + G_i. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $((E_n - D)\bar{\xi})_i \leq G_i$, which contradicts $(E_n - D)\bar{\xi} > G$. Therefore (17) holds, i.e., the condition (b) of Lemma 3 is satisfied.

Next, we define a continuous function $\Phi : \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ by

$$\Phi(u, \rho) = \rho \text{diag}(-c_1, -c_2, \dots, -c_n)u + (1 - \rho)QNu, \tag{18}$$

for all $u = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L = \Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\rho \in [0, 1]$. If $u(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$, then $u(t)$ is a constant vector in \mathbb{R}^n , and there exists some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $|x_i| = \bar{\xi}_i$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(u, \rho))_i &= -c_i x_i + (1 - \rho) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega a_{ij}(t) dt \right. \\ &+ \bigwedge_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \alpha_{ij}(t) dt + \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega I_i(t) dt \\ &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \beta_{ij}(t) dt \\ &+ \bigvee_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega T_{ij}(t) u_j(t) dt \\ &\left. + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega H_{ij}(t) u_j(t) dt \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

We claim that

$$|(\Phi(u, \rho))_i| > 0. \tag{20}$$

If this is not true, then $|(\Phi(u, \rho))_i| = 0$. Indeed,

$$0 = -c_i x_i + (1 - \rho) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega a_{ij}(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \alpha_{ij}(t) dt + \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega I_i(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(x_j) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \beta_{ij}(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega T_{ij}(t) u_j(t) dt + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega H_{ij}(t) u_j(t) dt \right].$$

Therefore there exists some $t^{**} \in [0, \omega]$ such that

$$0 = -c_i x_i + (1 - \rho) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t^{**}) f_j(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t^{**}) f_j(x_j) + I_i(t^{**}) + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t^{**}) f_j(x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n T_{ij}(t^{**}) u_j(t^{**}) + \sum_{j=1}^n H_{ij}(t^{**}) u_j(t^{**}) \right].$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\xi}_i &= |x_i| \\ &\leq (1 - \rho) \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |a_{ij}(t^{**})| |f_j(x_j)| + \frac{1}{c_i} |I_i(t^{**})| + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |\alpha_{ij}(t^{**})| |f_j(x_j)| + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |\beta_{ij}(t^{**})| |f_j(x_j)| + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |T_{ij}(t^{**})| |u_j(t^{**})| + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} |H_{ij}(t^{**})| |u_j(t^{**})| \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} a_{ij}^+ p_j |x_j| + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} \alpha_{ij}^+ p_j |x_j| + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} \beta_{ij}^+ p_j |x_j| + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) q_j \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} T_{ij}^+ u_j^+ + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} H_{ij}^+ u_j^+ + \frac{1}{c_i} I_i^+ = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} |x_j| + G_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} \bar{\xi}_j + G_i.$$

This implies that $((E_n - D)\bar{\xi})_i \leq G_i$, which contradicts $(E_n - D)\bar{\xi} > G$. Therefore (20) holds, which means that

$$\Phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \rho) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)^T,$$

$\forall (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, \rho \in [0, 1]$. Using the homotopy invariance theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{deg}\{QN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, (0, 0, \dots, 0)^T\} &= \text{deg}\{(-c_1 x_1, -c_2 x_2, \dots, -c_n x_n)^T, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, (0, 0, \dots, 0)^T\} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

To summarize, we have proved that Ω satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 3. Thus, by Lemma 3, it follows that $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution in X , namely, the system (1) has at least one ω -periodic solution. The proof is complete. ■

3. Global exponential stability of periodic solutions

In this section, we will construct some suitable Lyapunov function to study the global exponential stability of the periodic solution of the system (1).

Theorem 2. *If Assumptions (A1) and (A3) are satisfied, then the system (1) has exactly one ω -periodic solution, which is globally exponentially stable.*

Proof. From Theorem 1, the system (1) has at least one ω -periodic solution $z^*(t) = (x_1^*(t), \dots, x_n^*(t))^T$. Suppose that $z(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))^T$ is an arbitrary solution of (1). Then from the system (1) it follows that, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(x_i(t) - x_i^*(t)) &= -c_i(x_i(t) - x_i^*(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(t)(f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) - f_j(x_j^*(t))) + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t)f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t)f_j(x_j^*(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t)f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) - \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_{ij}(t)f_j(x_j^*(t)). \end{aligned}$$

By (A1) and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^- |x_i(t) - x_i^*(t)| &\leq -c_i |x_i(t) - x_i^*(t)| \\
 &+ \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}(t)| |f_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) - f_j(x_j^*(t))| \\
 &+ \left| \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j^*(t)) \right| \\
 &+ \left| \bigvee_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \bigvee_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j^*(t)) \right| \\
 &\leq -c_i |x_i(t) - x_i^*(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) \\
 &\quad \times p_j |x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) - x_j^*(t)|, \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

where D^- denotes the upper left derivative. If we let $y_i(t) = x_i(t) - x_i^*(t)$, then (21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^- |y_i(t)| &\leq -c_i |y_i(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j \sup_{t-\tau \leq s \leq t} |y_j(s)| \\
 &= -c_i |y_i(t)| + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j \bar{y}_j(t), \tag{22}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{y}_j(t) = \sup_{t-\tau \leq s \leq t} |y_j(s)|$. From (A3) and Lemma 1, we obtain that there exists a vector $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)^T > (0, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ such that

$$(E_n - D)\eta > (0, 0, \dots, 0)^T.$$

Indeed, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta_i - \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} \eta_j &= \eta_i - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j \eta_j > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$-c_i \eta_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j \eta_j < 0. \tag{23}$$

We can choose a small positive constant $\lambda < 1$ such that, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\lambda \eta_i + \left[-c_i \eta_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j \eta_j e^{\lambda \tau} \right] < 0. \tag{24}$$

We can choose a constant $\gamma > 1$ such that

$$\gamma \eta_i e^{-\lambda t} > 1, \quad \forall t \in [-\tau, 0]. \tag{25}$$

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$Y_i(t) = \gamma \eta_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}_j(0) + \varepsilon \right] e^{-\lambda t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{26}$$

From (24) and (26), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^- Y_i(t) &= -\lambda \gamma \eta_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}_j(0) + \varepsilon \right] e^{-\lambda t} \\
 &> \left[-c_i \eta_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j \eta_j e^{\lambda \tau} \right] \gamma \\
 &\quad \times \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}_j(0) + \varepsilon \right] e^{-\lambda t} \\
 &= -c_i \gamma \eta_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}_j(0) + \varepsilon \right] e^{-\lambda t} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n [(a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j \eta_j \gamma \\
 &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}_j(0) + \varepsilon \right) e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)}] \\
 &= -c_i Y_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j \bar{Y}_j(t), \tag{27}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{Y}_j(t) = \sup_{t-\tau \leq s \leq t} Y_j(s)$. From (25) and (26), we have, for $t \in [-\tau, 0]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_i(t) &= \gamma \eta_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}_j(0) + \varepsilon \right] e^{-\lambda t} \\
 &> \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}_j(0) + \varepsilon > |y_i(t)|. \tag{28}
 \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$|y_i(t)| < Y_i(t), \quad \forall t > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{29}$$

If not, there must exist some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, t_i > 0$ such that, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n, t \in [-\tau, t_i]$,

$$|y_i(t_i)| = Y_i(t_i), \quad |y_j(t)| < Y_j(t). \tag{30}$$

Indeed, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n, t \in [-\tau, t_i]$,

$$|y_i(t_i)| - Y_i(t_i) = 0, \quad |y_j(t)| - Y_j(t) < 0. \tag{31}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq D^-(|y_i(t_i) - Y_i(t_i)|) \\
 &= \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{1}{h} \left\{ [|y_i(t_i + h)| - Y_i(t_i + h)] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - [|y_i(t_i)| - Y_i(t_i)] \right\} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{|y_i(t_i + h)| - |y_i(t_i)|}{h} \\
 &\quad - \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{Y_i(t_i + h) - Y_i(t_i)}{h} \\
 &= D^-|y_i(t_i)| - D_-Y_i(t_i). \tag{32}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (22), (27) and (30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^-|y_i(t_i)| &\leq -c_i|y_i(t_i)| + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j |\bar{y}_j(t_i)| \\
 &= -c_i Y_i(t_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j |\bar{y}_j(t_i)| \\
 &\leq -c_i Y_i(t_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j |\bar{Y}_j(t_i)| \\
 &\leq D_-Y_i(t_i) \tag{33}
 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (32). Therefore (29) holds. Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $M = n \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\gamma \eta_i + 1\}$. From (26) and (29) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x_i(t) - x_i^*(t)| &= |y_i(t)| \leq \gamma \eta_i \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}_j(0) e^{-\lambda t} \\
 &\leq n \gamma \eta_i \|\varphi - \varphi^*\| e^{-\lambda t} \\
 &\leq M \|\varphi - \varphi^*\| e^{-\lambda t}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t > 0$. This completes the proof. ■

4. Illustrative example

Consider the following fuzzy cellular neural network with time-varying delays:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_i'(t) &= -x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^3 a_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \\
 &\quad + \bigwedge_{j=1}^3 \alpha_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) + I_i(t) \\
 &\quad + \bigvee_{j=1}^3 \beta_{ij}(t) f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) + \bigwedge_{j=1}^3 T_{ij}(t) u_j(t) \\
 &\quad + \bigvee_{j=1}^3 H_{ij}(t) u_j(t), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \tag{34}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{11}(t) &= \alpha_{11}(t) = \beta_{11}(t) = \frac{1}{4} \sin t, \\
 a_{12}(t) &= \alpha_{12}(t) = \beta_{12}(t) = \frac{1}{9} \cos t, \\
 a_{13}(t) &= \alpha_{13}(t) = \beta_{13}(t) = \frac{1}{4} \sin t, \\
 a_{21}(t) &= \alpha_{21}(t) = \beta_{21}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sin t, \\
 a_{22}(t) &= \alpha_{22}(t) = \beta_{22}(t) = \frac{1}{6} \cos t, \\
 a_{23}(t) &= \alpha_{23}(t) = \beta_{23}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sin t, \\
 a_{31}(t) &= \alpha_{31}(t) = \beta_{31}(t) = \sin t, \\
 a_{32}(t) &= \alpha_{32}(t) = \beta_{32}(t) = \frac{1}{6} \cos t, \\
 a_{33}(t) &= \alpha_{33}(t) = \beta_{33}(t) = \frac{1}{9} \cos t, \\
 \tau_{11}(t) &= \tau_{12}(t) = \tau_{13}(t) = \cos t, \\
 \tau_{21}(t) &= \tau_{22}(t) = \tau_{23}(t) = \sin t, \\
 \tau_{31}(t) &= \tau_{32}(t) = \tau_{33}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sin t, \\
 I_1(t) &= \cos t, \quad I_2(t) = \sin t, \quad I_3(t) = 2 \cos t, \\
 T_{ij}(t) &= H_{ij}(t) = \sin t, \quad K_{ji}(t) = N_{ji}(t) = \cos t, \\
 u_i(t) &= u_j(t) = 2 \sin t, \quad (i, j = 1, 2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Take $f_j(x) = \frac{1}{2}(|x + 1| - |x - 1|)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$). We have $p_i = 1$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). By simple computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{11}^+ &= \alpha_{11}^+ = \beta_{11}^+ = \frac{1}{4}, \quad a_{12}^+ = \alpha_{12}^+ = \beta_{12}^+ = \frac{1}{9}, \\
 a_{13}^+ &= \alpha_{13}^+ = \beta_{13}^+ = \frac{1}{4}, \quad a_{21}^+ = \alpha_{21}^+ = \beta_{21}^+ = \frac{1}{2}, \\
 a_{22}^+ &= \alpha_{22}^+ = \beta_{22}^+ = \frac{1}{6}, \quad a_{23}^+ = \alpha_{23}^+ = \beta_{23}^+ = \frac{1}{2}, \\
 a_{31}^+ &= \alpha_{31}^+ = \beta_{31}^+ = 1, \quad a_{32}^+ = \alpha_{32}^+ = \beta_{32}^+ = \frac{1}{6}, \\
 a_{33}^+ &= \alpha_{33}^+ = \beta_{33}^+ = \frac{1}{9}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D &= (c_i^{-1}(a_{ij}^+ + \alpha_{ij}^+ + \beta_{ij}^+) p_j)_{3 \times 3} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ 3 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$E_3 - D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{3}{4} \\ -\frac{3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ -3 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence it follows that all the conditions needed in Theorem 2 are satisfied. Therefore, according to Theorem 2, the system (34) has one 2π -periodic solution which is globally exponentially stable.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we use the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory and the Lyapunov function to study the existence and global exponential stability of a periodic solution for fuzzy cellular neural networks with time-varying delays. The sufficient conditions for the existence and global stability of the periodic solution are independent of time delays. Moreover, an example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of the new results.

Acknowledgment

This work is partially supported by the Scientific Research Foundation of the Guizhou Science and Technology Department (No. [2011]J2096), the Doctoral Foundation of the Guizhou College of Finance and Economics (2010), and the Scientific Research Foundation of the Hunan Provincial Education Department (10B023). The authors would like to thank the editor and three anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and valuable suggestions, which have greatly improved the quality of this paper.

References

- Arik, S. and Tavsanoglu, V. (2005). Global asymptotic stability analysis of bidirectional associative memory neural networks with constant time delays, *Neurocomputing* **68**: 161–176.
- Cao, J. (2003). Global asymptotic stability of delayed bi-directional associative memory neural networks, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **142**(2): 333–339.
- Cao, J. and Dong, M. (2003). Exponential stability of delayed bi-directional associative memory neural networks, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **135**(1): 105–112.
- Cao, J. and Wang, L. (2002). Exponential stability and periodic oscillatory solution in BAM networks with delays, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* **13**(2): 457–463.
- Chen, A., Cao, J. and Huang, L. (2004). Exponential stability of BAM neural networks with transmission delays, *Neurocomputing* **57**: 435–454.
- Gaines, R.E. and Mawhin, J.L. (1990). *Coincidence Degree and Nolinear Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, NY.
- Gopalsmy, K. and He, X.Z. (1994). Delay-independent stability in bi-directional associative memory networks, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* **5**(6): 998–1002.
- Huang, T. (2006). Exponential stability of fuzzy cellular neural networks with distributed delay, *Physics Letters A* **351**(1): 48–52.
- Kosto, B. (1987). Adaptive bi-directional associative memories, *Applied Optics* **26**(23): 4947–4960.
- Kosto, B. (1988). Bi-directional associative memories, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics* **18**(1): 49–60.
- Liao, X.F. and Yu, J.B. (1998). Qualitative analysis of bi-directional associative memory with time delay, *International Journal of Circuit Theory and Applications* **26**(3): 219–229.
- Liu, B.W. and Huang, L.H. (2006). Existence and exponential stability of periodic solutions for cellular neural networks with time-varying delays, *Physics Letters A* **349**(6): 474–483.
- Liu, Y.Q. and Tang, W.S. (2004). Exponential stability of fuzzy cellular neural networks with constant and time-varying delays, *Physics Letters A* **323**(3): 224–233.
- Liu, Y.Q. and Tang, W.S. (2006). Existence and exponential stability of periodic solution for bam neural networks with periodic coefficients and delays, *Neurocomputing* **69**(16): 2152–2160.
- Liu, Z., Chen, A. and Huang, L. (2003). Existence and global exponential stability of periodic solution to self-connection BAM neural networks with delays, *Physics Letters A* **328**(2): 127–143.
- Liu, Z., Zhang, H. and Wang, Z. (2009). Novel stability criteria of a new fuzzy cellular neural networks with time-varying delays, *Neurocomputing* **72**(4): 1056–1064.
- Niu, S., Jiang, H. and Teng, Z. (2008). Exponential stability and periodic solutions of FCNNs with variable coefficients and time-varying delays, *Neurocomputing* **71**(13): 2929–2936.
- Raja, R., Sakthivel, R., Anthoni, S.M. and Kim, H. (2011). Stability of impulsive Hopfield neural networks with Markovian switching and time-varying delays, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science* **21**(1): 127–135, DOI: 10.2478/v10006-011-0009-y.
- Tian, A., Gai, M., Shi, B. and Zhang, Q. (2010). Existence and exponential stability of periodic solution for a class of Cohen–Grossberg-type BAM neural networks, *Neurocomputing* **73**(16): 3147–3159.
- Wang, Z., Zhang, H. and Yu, W. (2007). Robust exponential stability analysis of neural networks with multiple time delays, *Neurocomputing* **70**(13): 2534–2543.
- Yang, T. and Yang, L.B. (1996). The global stability of fuzzy cellular neural networks, *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications* **43**(10): 880–883.

- Yang, T., Yang, L.B., Wu, C.W. and Chua, L.O. (1996). Fuzzy cellular neural networks: Theory, *4th IEEE International Workshop on Cellular Neural Networks and Their Applications, Seville, Spain*, pp. 181–186.
- Yuan, K., Cao, J. and Deng, J. (2006). Exponential stability and periodic solutions of fuzzy cellular neural networks with time-varying delays, *Neurocomputing* **69**(13): 1619–1627.
- Zhang, Q. and Luo, W. (2009). Global exponential stability of fuzzy BAM neural networks with time-varying delays, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **42**(4): 2239–2245.
- Zhang, Q. and Xiang, R. (2008). Global asymptotic stability of fuzzy cellular neural networks with time-varying delays, *Physics Letters A* **372**(22): 3971–3978.
- Zhao, H. (2002). Global exponential stability of bidirectional associative memory neural networks with distributed delays, *Physics Letters A* **297**(3): 182–190.
- Zhao, H. (2006). Exponential stability and periodic oscillatory of bidirectional associative memory neural networks involving delays, *Neurocomputing* **69**(4): 424–448.



Qianhong Zhang received the M.Sc. degree from Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, China, in 2004, and the Ph.D. degree from Central South University, Changsha, China, in 2009, both in mathematics/applied mathematics. From 2004 to 2009, he was a lecturer at the Hunan Institute of Technology, Hengyang, Hunan, China. In 2010, he joined the Guizhou Key Laboratory of Economic Systems Simulation, Guizhou College of Finance and Economics, Guiyang, China, where he currently works as an associate professor. He also is the author or coauthor of more than 30 journal papers. His research interests include nonlinear systems, neural networks, fuzzy differential and fuzzy difference equations, and stability theory.



Lihui Yang received the M.Sc. degree at the Department of Applied Mathematics of Southwest Jiaotong University, Chengdu, China, in 2005. From 2005 to 2008, he was with the Huaiying Institute of Technology, Huai'an, Jiangsu, China. In 2008, he joined the Department of Mathematics, Hunan City University, Yiyang, Hunan, China. He is currently a lecturer at Hunan City University. He also is the author or coauthor of more than 20 journal papers. His research interests include neural networks and fuzzy systems.



Daixi Liao received the B.Sc. degree in 2003 and the M.Sc. degree in 2009 from Xiangtan University, Xiangtan, Hunan, China, both in mathematics. He is currently a lecturer at the Hunan Institute of Technology, Hengyang, Hunan, China. His present research interests include neural networks and nonlinear systems.

Received: 9 January 2011

Revised: 30 June 2011